

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/588,807	06/06/2000	William G. Lundell		9281	
30621 7	7590 02/06/2003				
JENSEN + PUNTIGAM, P.S.		EXAMINER			
SUITE 1020			SPISICH, MARK		
2033 6TH AV	_		31131011,	, WARK	
SEATTLE, W.	A 98121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1744		
			DATE MAILED: 02/06/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/06/2003	
				7	
				F	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant()				
	09/588,807	LUNDELL ET AL.				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Spisich	1744				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period f r Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> .	lanuary 2003 .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-12</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

i basu i

ζ.

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of group I in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 10-12 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1-4 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Marx et al (USP 6,230,717). The patent to Marx discloses a disposable (see title and abstract, line 1) toothbrush (10) as well as a power system (21,22+fig 13) which

Art Unit: 1744

ž

includes a non-rechargeable battery (22) (claim 2) which would have a predetermined life (see column 6, lines 10-23). The functional or otherwise narrative language in claims 1 and 7 fail to define over the structure of the prior art.

5. Claims 1-4 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Montanio (USP 4,179,814). The patent to Montanio discloses a powered (motor 14) tooth cleaning device including a power system including non-rechargeable batteries (20) (see column 3, lines 7-9) which would have a predetermined life. The use of the term "disposable" does not define over the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lundell et al (USP 5,994,855) in view of Marx et al (USP 6,230,717). The patent to Lundell discloses an electric toothbrush (10) including a power system (22) including a battery (column 2, lines 39-40). The patent to Lundell, with respect to the structure of the device, discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the non-rechargeable battery. The patent to Marx discloses a power toothbrush (10) which includes a non-rechargeable battery (22) (column 6, lines 10-23) within an enclosed housing (11) whereby the toothbrush is disposable after use (abstract, line 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to have modified the device of Lundell as

Art Unit: 1744

<u>.</u> .

ş -

ć

1.

taught by Marx so as to eliminate the need for the charging base and to reduce the cost of the device so that it could be readily disposed of after user. The use of the batter of Marx would power the brush for a predetermined time. The narrative recitations in claims 1 and 7 (with regard to the "trial" aspect) define no structure. With regard to claims 5-6, see column 3, line 62 thru column 5, line 49.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patent to Giuliani et al is cited as it is referred to the the specification as being relevent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Spisich whose telephone number is (703) 308-1271. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6-3:30), Alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J Warden can be reached on (703) 308-2920. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Art Unit: 1744

Page 5

Mark Spisich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

MS February 4, 2003